



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/954,799	799 09/18/2001		Todd A. Hinck	SMQ-068/P5659	8191
959	7590	10/17/2005		EXAMINER	
LAHIVE &		TELD, LLP.	FILE, ERIN M		
BOSTON, N		9		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				2634	

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/954,799	HINCK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Erin M. File	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Seconds</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the prac	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,8,10,12 and 21-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-7,9,11,13-20 and 24 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable in view of Segawa et al.

Claims 1, 12, Segawa discloses receiving data of a source synchronous signal and a source synchronous clock signal ([0016]) in a communication system (fig. 9, carrier signal, clock 1). Segawa's receiver circuit also includes a feedback circuit for providing said receiver circuit with a plurality of feedback signals ([0016], fig. 9), based on an output of said receiver circuit to synchronize receipt of said data of said source synchronous signal by said receiver circuit by phase comparison ([0015],[0016]).

Application/Control Number: 09/954,799 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 8 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Segawa et al. and in further view of Chang et al.

Claim 8, 23, inherit the limitations of Claims 1 and 12 respectively. Chang further discloses that the receiver is for receiving multi-level signals (abstract). Multilevel signals are often used in the art because they allow for greater amounts of data to be transferred over a fixed amount of bandwidth. Because of the advantage in the use of multilevel signals it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate Chang into Segawa.

5. Claims 10, 21, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Segawa et al.

Art Unit: 2634

Claim 10, 21, 22, inherit the limitations of Claims 1 and 12 respectively. Although Chang does not disclose that the synchronous signal is a differential signal, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention that a differential signal is a type of synchronous signal.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-7, 9, 11-24 are objected to as dependent on rejected claim(s). These claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/954,799 Page 5

Art Unit: 2634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

10/10/2005

STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600